

Recovery of high-level " intermediate representations of algorithms from binary code

Alexander Borisovich Bugerya^[1], **Ivan Ivanovich Kulagin**^[2], Vartan Andronikovich Padaryan^[2, 3], Mikhail Aleksandrovich Solovev^[2, 3], Andrei Yur'evich Tikhonov^[2]

[1] Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
[2] Ivannikov Institute for System Programming of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
[3] Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

Email: shurabug@yandex.ru, {i.kulagin, vartan, icee, fireboo}@ispras.ru



FLAWS IN APPLICATION LOGIC

- Flaws in application logic are hard to find
 - This requires developing a program behavior model before model violations can be detected
 - One of the approaches that do not require specifying a model is <u>dynamic taint analysis</u>
 - Its usage is hindered because false positives and negatives
 - The actual data transformations are typically not considered
 - To solve these problems, a human analyst is involved
 - Analyst actions are automated to a certain degree by various tools (Trawl, Ghidra, Binary Analysis Platform – BAP, etc.)



FLAWS IN APPLICATION LOGIC

- The order of analyst actions is based on expert knowledge, and often involves a <u>large amount of manual work</u>
- The hard degree and the result quality of manual analysis depend on *representation of the algorithm*
- Existed intermediate representations (IR) are unsuitable
 - Compilers IR (GENERIC, GIMPLE, RTL in GCC; LLVM IR; Program dependence graph)
 - IR of modeling machine instructions and binary analysis (Pivot/Pivot2^[1], B2R2^[2], REIL^[3], MAIL^[4], BAP (BIL)^[5], BitBlaze^[6], ESIL^[7], etc.)

^{[1] –} M.A. Solovev, M.G. Bakulin, M.S. Gorbachev, D.V. Manushin, V.A. Padaryan, S.S. Panasenko. Next generation intermediate representations for binary code analysis.

^{[2] –} Jung, Minkyu and Kim, Soomin and Han, HyungSeok and Choi, Jaeseung and Kil Cha, Sang. B2R2: Building an Efficient Front-End for Binary Analysis.

^{[3] -} T. Dullien and S. Porst. REIL: A platform-independent intermediate representation of disassembled code for static code analysis.

^{[4] –} S. Alam, R. N. Horspool and I. Traore. MAIL: Malware Analysis Intermediate Language: A Step Towards Automating and Optimizing Malware Detection.

^{[5] –} D. Brumley, I. Jager, T. Avgerinos and E. J. Schwartz. BAP: A Binary Analysis Platform.

^{[6] –} D. Song, D. Brumley, H. Yin, J. Caballero, I. Jager, M. G. Kang, Z. Liang, J. Newsome, P. Poosankam and P. Saxena. BitBlaze: A New Approach to Computer Security via Binary Analysis.

^{[7] –} ESIL: Radare2 book. URL: https://radare.gitbooks.io/radare2book/content/disassembling/esil.html.



HIGH-LEVEL ALGORITHM REPRESENTATION

- Currently there is a lack of tools that could build from binary code <u>hierarchical flowchart-based algorithm representation</u> that is suitable for manual analysis
- It is needed to propose:
 - A high-level hierarchical representation of an algorithm based on flowcharts
 - Algorithm of whole-system binary code analysis that builds such a representation
- The proposed solution should not rely on any kind of code markup
- The proposed representation should be suitable for manual analysis and for implementing automatic data flow analysis algorithm in context of finding undocumented software features

HIERARCHICAL HIGH-LEVEL ALGORITHM REPRESENTATION

- High-level hierarchical flowchart-based representation of an algorithm is based on hypergraph
- Representation has two kinds of nodes
 - 1. <u>**Points**</u> (p_i) represent an instruction executed at a certain trace step
 - 2. <u>**Buffers**</u> (b_i) represent a region of an abstract memory model (which can be an actual contiguous memory address range, a register or a part thereof) at a certain trace step
- Edges describe data dependencies
- **<u>Point</u>** nodes can be grouped into subsets <u>**fragments**</u> (f_i)
- **<u>Fragment</u>** nodes can be grouped into <u>**superblocks**</u> (s_i)





HIERARCHICAL HIGH-LEVEL ALGORITHM REPRESENTATION

- Logically connected <u>buffer</u> nodes can be grouped into subsets called <u>superbuffers</u>
 - 3. Fragment nodes (f_i) correspond to code fragments in the trace (linear step sequences such that there are no call or return instructions within them)
 - 4. <u>Superblock nodes</u> (s_i) correspond to instances of function calls and therefore can only contain fragments that belong to a single function instance
 - 5. <u>Superbuffer nodes</u> (B_i) logically connected buffer nodes
- Superbuffers and buffers correspond to data structures in the program and define interoperation interfaces between fragments and superblocks



 b_0

 p_0

 b_2

 f_0

 f_2

 S_0

*s*₁



ISPRAS

- The basis of constructing the high-level representation is the backward slicing algorithm used to track data flow in reverse step order
- Representation of an algorithm is built only from points (trace steps) that contribute to forming the result buffer
- Input of the construction algorithm:
 - <u>Start buffer</u> b: < a, l > is a result buffer of the algorithm being analyzed (a - begin address of buffer; l - length of buffer)
 - **Trace** t where execution of the analyzed algorithm had been recorded
 - Functions call information C

- The construction algorithm performs two main steps:
 - 1) discovery of points in trace that belong to the algorithm forming the start buffer and their grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)
 - 2) grouping fragments into superblocks (createSuperblocks)



- The construction algorithm performs two main steps:
 - 1) discovery of points in trace that belong to the algorithm forming the start buffer and their grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)
 - 2) grouping fragments into superblocks (createSuperblocks)



- The construction algorithm performs two main steps:
 - 1) discovery of points in trace that belong to the algorithm forming the start buffer and their grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)
 - 2) grouping fragments into superblocks (createSuperblocks)





AES encryption program
key = GenerateKey()
text = ReadText()
cipher = aes(key, text)

Execution trace



Execution order of instructions in trace

 p_0 - call GenerateKey() p_9 - call ReadText() p_{18} - call aes()

ISP BAS

• The point set corresponds to the backward trace slice for cipher buffer



ISPRAS

1. Grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)

1. Grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)

 $\begin{array}{l} f_0 = \{p_1\}, f_1 = \{p_4, p_5\}, f_2 = \{p_7\}, f_3 = \{p_{10}, p_{11}\}, f_4 = \{p_{13}\}, \\ f_5 = \{p_{16}\}, f_6 = \{p_{19}\}, f_7 = \{p_{22}, p_{23}\}, f_8 = \{p_{25}\} \end{array}$





1. Grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)

- $\begin{array}{l} f_0 = \{p_1\}, f_1 = \{p_4, p_5\}, f_2 = \{p_7\}, f_3 = \{p_{10}, p_{11}\}, f_4 = \{p_{13}\}, \\ f_5 = \{p_{16}\}, f_6 = \{p_{19}\}, f_7 = \{p_{22}, p_{23}\}, f_8 = \{p_{25}\} \end{array}$
- 2. Grouping fragments into superblocks (createSuperblocks)







ISPRAS

1. Grouping into fragments (createPointsAndFragments)

 $f_0 = \{p_1\}, f_1 = \{p_4, p_5\}, f_2 = \{p_7\}, f_3 = \{p_{10}, p_{11}\}, f_4 = \{p_{13}\}, \\ f_5 = \{p_{16}\}, f_6 = \{p_{19}\}, f_7 = \{p_{22}, p_{23}\}, f_8 = \{p_{25}\}$

2. Grouping fragments into superblocks (createSuperblocks)

$$s_0 = \{f_0, f_1, f_2\}, s_1 = \{f_3, f_4, f_5\}, s_2 = \{f_6, f_7, f_8\}.$$



 The hierarchical organization of the representation is suitable for the algorithm research in manual mode (because excess details can be elided through folding fragments and/or superblocks)





 The hierarchical organization of the representation is suitable for the algorithm research in manual mode (because excess details can be elided through folding fragments and/or superblocks)

Fold fragments f_0 , f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , f_6 , f_7 , f_8



 The hierarchical organization of the representation is suitable for the algorithm research in manual mode (because excess details can be elided through folding fragments and/or superblocks)

Fold fragments f_0 , f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , f_6 , f_7 , f_8 Fold superblocks s_0 , s_1 , s_2





 The hierarchical organization of the representation is suitable for the algorithm research in manual mode (because excess details can be elided through folding fragments and/or superblocks)

Fold fragments f_0 , f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , f_4 , f_5 , f_6 , f_7 , f_8 Fold superblocks s_0 , s_1 , s_2 Fold entire diagram $\{s_0, s_1, s_2\} \rightarrow s_3$







CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

- The hierarchical high-level representation of a program's algorithm has been proposed
- The representation is based on a hypergraph and permits analysis in manual and automatic settings
- Algorithm of whole-system binary code analysis that builds such a representation has been proposed
- Future works:
 - Improving the quality of the representation by identifying high-level language constructs (such as conditional and loop statements, etc.) and recovering structural and type information for program variables
 - Development of automatic methods of analysis of an algorithm's properties based on its high-level representation



Thank you for your attention!

Alexander Borisovich Bugerya^[1], **Ivan Ivanovich Kulagin**^[2], Vartan Andronikovich Padaryan^[2, 3], Mikhail Aleksandrovich Solovev^[2, 3], Andrei Yur'evich Tikhonov^[2]

[1] Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
[2] Ivannikov Institute for System Programming of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
[3] Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

Email: shurabug@yandex.ru, {i.kulagin, vartan, icee, fireboo}@ispras.ru